Therriault, John

From:

McCambridge, Michael

Sent:

Wednesday, August 03, 2016 7:36 PM

To:

Jonathan Eastvold

Cc:

Therriault, John; Powell, Mark

Subject:

FW: 35 IAC 721

Attachments:

35-721 cut.docx; 35-721 fnt.docx

Ul-1 PC#23

Somehow, another check of the text revealed yet several more corrections from "° C" to "°C" in Sections 721.933(e)(2); 721.935 (c)(4)(A), (c)(4)(B), (c)(4)(C)(1), (c)(4)(D)(i), (c)(4)(G)(i) & (c)(4)(G)(ii) & 721.981 (definition of "in light material service"). I have no idea why these did not turn up on an earlier digital document search.

Sorry for any inconvenience.

BTW, the most recent search shows that another 53 occurrences exist, but no more in Part 721.

John T.: Please replace the versions of this e-mail submitted to you yesterday and earlier today with a copy of this e-mail.

From: McCambridge, Michael

Sent: Wednesday, August 03, 2016 6:14 PM

To: Jonathan Eastvold

Cc: Therriault, John; Powell, Mark

Subject: FW: 35 IAC 721

In addition to the corrections forwarded earlier today, I found a few more corrections of "C" to "C" in Section 721.933(f)(2)(A), (f)(2)(B), (f)(2)(D) & (f)(2)(F)(ii).

Attached are further updated copies of the Code and notice texts with the latest corrections included.

John T.: Please replace the version of this e-mail submitted to you yesterday and earlier today with a copy of this e-mail.

From: McCambridge, Michael

Sent: Wednesday, August 03, 2016 11:57 AM

To: Jonathan Eastvold

Cc: Powell, Mark; Therriault, John

Subject: FW: 35 IAC 721

In addition to the corrections outlined in the below e-mail, I have made additional corrections to Part 721. You observation with regard to the appearance of "C" instead of "C" in 35 Ill. Adm. Code 724.933(c) prompted similar corrections in the following segments of Part 721:

721.981 (definition of "volatile organic content") and 721.983(a)(3)(C) and (a)(3)(D)(ii).

Attached are updated copies of the Code and notice texts with the latest corrections included.

John T.: Please replace the version of this e-mail submitted to you yesterday with a copy of this e-mail.

From: McCambridge, Michael

Sent: Tuesday, August 02, 2016 6:16 PM

To: 'Eastvold, Jonathan C.'
Cc: Powell, Mark; Therriault, John

Subject: RE: 35 IAC 721

I have made all of the suggested corrections and revisions cited in your e-mail below, with the following exceptions:

721.104(a)(27)(F)(v): The format "Subparts... of this Part" is standard for a cross-reference within a Part. The Board does not see potential for confusion that federal provisions are intended.

721.296(b), (d), etc.: The Board retained the ending period for the topical subheadings at this time.

In addition to the change from "Section 5.0 to" to "Section 5.0 of" in Section 721.986(e)(2)(A), I corrected a similar occurrence in Section 721.986(i)(1). (I made the same revision in 35 Ill. Adm. Code 724.982(c)(5)(C), 724.986(e)(2)(A), 725.983(e)(5)(C), 725.985(i)(1) & 725.987(e)(2), which are the subject of other e-mails.)

I, too, continue with 35 Ill. Adm. Code 724. You had nothing on 35 Ill. Adm. Code 702 and 722? I do not see them among the six e-mails that you sent me.

I have attached the notice and Code texts of Part 721 as corrected for you to review to ensue I have captured all you have suggested, should you wish to do so.

John T.: Please add this e-mail exchange to docket R16-7 as a public comment. I will submit a revised IIS-RA (F) at the conclusion of this corrections process.

From: Eastvold, Jonathan C. [mailto:JonathanE@ilga.gov]

Sent: Thursday, July 28, 2016 3:56 PM

To: McCambridge, Michael **Subject:** 35 IAC 721

I apologize for the delay in getting this to you.

Here's my current list:

721.102(b): The "b)" header contains an opening parenthesis.

721.102(b)(2): Should you strike the "or"?

721.104(a)(16): Two things: (a) Check spelling of "regulations". (b) Did you intend to change "This subsection (a)(16) corresponds..." to "This subsection corresponds"?

721.104(a)(24)(A): There is an extra closing parenthesis.

721.104(a)(27)(F)(ii): Why are you replacing the closing semicolon with a period?

721.104(a)(27)(F)(v), last few lines: Without the reference to Part 721, is it clear that Subparts AA through CC refer to this Part and not one of the CFR parts?

721.104(b)(4)(B)(viii): Why are you changing (b)(4)(B)(i) to (b)(4)(B)(I)?

721.293(d)(1)(B): Why is this paragraph ended with a period rather than a semicolon?

721.296(b), (d), and elsewhere in rulemaking: Would it be possible to remove the final period from subsection headers that are only sentence fragments rather than full sentences/paragraphs?

721.296(d)(3)(C): Why is this paragraph ended with a period rather than a semicolon?

721.932(a)(1): Why is this paragraph ended with ", or" rather than "; or"?

721.964(k): Should "applicability Section" be "applicable Sections"?

721.981, "Volatile organic concentration" → Should "mole-fraction-in the liquid-phase" be "mole-fraction-in-the-liquid-phase"?

721.983(a)(3)(d)(ii), penultimate line: are you missing a space before "atmospheres"?

721.984(f)(1)(B)(ii), 4th line: "secondary seal must not exceed cm²" → Should 21.2 be reinstated after "exceed"?

721.986(e)(2)(A): Why "Section 5.0 to" rather than "Section 5.0 of"?

722 et seq. will follow (no pun intended) as quickly as I can send them...

Thanks for your patience.

Jonathan C. Eastvold, Ph.D. Rules Analyst II Joint Committee on Administrative Rules Illinois General Assembly

700 Stratton Building Springfield, IL 62706

Tel.: 217-785-2254

JonathanE@ilga.gov